

deposited film" and for a "transparent film". Claims 5 was also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. FIGURE 1 was objected to as lacking the legend "Prior Art".

It was further indicated that a certified copy of the corresponding Korean application was not yet received. Importantly, it was indicated that Claims 1 - 4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to specify that the aluminum-deposited film is an aluminium-deposited polyethylene terephthalate film and that the transparent film is a transparent polyethylene terephthalate film.

Although Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's rejections, Applicant is desirous of obtaining patent protection for the present invention at an early date. As such, Applicant has amended the present claims so as to place the application into a proper condition for allowance.

In particular, independent Claim 6 specifies that the "aluminum-deposited film" is a "aluminum-deposited polyethylene terephthalate film". Independent Claim 7 specifically recited that the "aluminum-deposited film" is now an "aluminun-deposited polyethylene terephthalate film". Independent Claim 7 further specifies that the "transparent film" is now "a transparent polyethylene terephthalate film". Applicant has also amended original Claims 1 - 3 to be placed in a more proper U.S. format, including proper antecedent bases and proper structural interrelationships throughout. Any indefinite terminology found in the original claims has been corrected in new Claims 6 - 8.


New independent Claim 6 corresponds to the limitations of original independent Claim 1. New independent Claim 7 corresponds to the limitations of original independent Claim 2. New dependent Claim 8 corresponds to the limitations of original dependent Claim 3. The limitations associated with previous dependent Claims 4 and 5 have been canceled herein.

Applicant is enclosing herewith a substitute drawing sheet showing the legend "PRIOR ART" in red ink. Applicant respectfully contends that the present drawing changes should be suitable for conforming the drawings to the formality requirements.

Applicant is enclosing herewith a certified copy of the corresponding Korean Application No. 0078125. Applicant respectfully requests that this certified copy be made of record in the present case.

Based upon the foregoing analysis, Applicant contends that independent Claims 6 and 7 are now in proper condition for allowance. Additionally, those claims which are dependent upon these independent claims should also be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of the present claims at an early date is earnestly solicited. Since no new claims have been added above those originally paid for, no additional fee is required.

Respectfully submitted,


John S. Egbert
Reg. No. 30,627
Attorney for Applicant

5-13-03
Date

Harrison & Egbert
412 Main Street, 7th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002
(713)224-8080
(713)223-4873 (Fax)